

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/730,627	12/06/2000	Mohan Vishnupad	370-19	9463	
. 75	90 11/12/2002				
CARTER, DELUCA, FARRELL & SCHMIDT LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
445 Broad Hollow Road Suite 225		MRUK, BRIAN P			
Melville, NY	11/4/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751 DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/730,627	VISHNUPAD, MOHAN			
riation, rioden	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian P Mruk	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the control of this application at the control of the cont	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 5, 7-9 and 11-40.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/730,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

ADVISORY ACTION ATTACHMENT

1. Applicant's remarks and arguments filed on November 4, 2002 have been considered. Applicant argues that Cen et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,428,799 (hereinafter "Cen"), includes free water, whereas the instant claims are substantially anhydrous. Applicant further argues that Cen discloses in Example 65 that the composition contains 4.43% by weight of water, and that Examples 66-67 contain 3.0% by weight of water. However, the examiner respectfully points out to applicant that in the first Office Action, Paper No. 5, Paragraph No. 1, the examiner construed the phrase "substantially anhydrous" to mean less than 5% by weight of water, as defined by applicant on page 3, line 5 of the instant specification. Thus, since Examples 65-67 of Cen contain less than 5% by weight of water, the examiner maintains the rejections of record.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (703) 305-0728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (**Before Final**) and (703) 872-9311 (**After Final**).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Brian Mruk November 7, 2002

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700